## IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND AT NAINITAL WRIT PETITION (PIL) NO. 61 of 2020

Akshay Sharma

.....Petitioner.

Vs.

Union of India and others.

...Respondents

Sri Akshay Sharma, petitioner, in person.

Sri Rakesh Thapliyal, learned Assistant Solicitor General for Union of India.

Sri Paresh Tripathi, learned Chief Standing Counsel with Sri B.S. Parihar, learned Standing Counsel and Sri J.C. Pandey, learned Brief Holder for the State of Uttarakhand.

Dated: 21<sup>th</sup> May, 2020

## Coram: Hon'ble Ramesh Ranganathan, C.J. Hon'ble R.C. Khulbe, J. Ramesh Ranganathan, C.J. (Oral)

Heard Sri Akshay Sharma, petitioner-in person, Sri Rakesh Thapliyal, learned Assistant Solicitor General for the Union of India, and Sri Paresh Tripathi, learned Chief Standing Counsel appearing for the State Government.

- 2. The jurisdiction of this Court was invoked by the petitioner complaining that, though Haridwar district did not see any increase in Covid-19 cases, it was nonetheless classified as red zone, while districts Dehradun, Udham Singh Nagar and Nainital, which saw a surge in Covid-19 cases, were classified as orange zones.
- 3. We had asked both the learned Assistant Solicitor General appearing for the Union of India, and the learned Chief Standing Counsel appearing for the State Government, to obtain instructions. It is brought to our notice that in Lockdown 4.0, which commenced on 18<sup>th</sup> May, 2020, the Government of India has left the matter, of classifying districts or areas into different colooured zones or containment zones, to respective State Governments; pursuant thereto, the Government of Uttarakhand has re-classified zones within the State; and, as a result, only Almora, Dehradun, Nainital, Pauri Garhwal, Udham Singh Nagar and Uttarakashi districts are classified as orange zones, and all other districts in the State, including Haridwar, are now classified as green zones.

WWW.LIVELAW.IN

4. While fairly stating that his grievance no longer survives since Haridwar is now classified as a green zone, Sri Akshay Sharma,

petitioner-in person, would however contend that the validity of

original classification may still require examination on the

touchstone of Article 14 of the Constitution of India.

5. In these times of a global pandemic of the Covid-19 disease,

stringent measures have been taken, and severe restrictions have

been imposed, both by the Government of India and the State

Government to prevent its further spread. In their endeavour to

protect the life and health of its citizens / inhabitants, the executive

is entitled to some elbow room and a free play in its joints. It is not

even the petitioner's case that such classification of zones had

resulted in an increase in Covid-19 cases in different districts of the

State. His grievance is that, as there were no increase in the number

of Covid-19 cases in Haridwar district, it ought to have been

classified as a green zone, and was instead classified as a red zone.

Factors, such as Haridwar being a pilgrimage centre where people

may gather in large numbers if such restrictions were not imposed,

may have also weighed with the authorities in classifying it as a red

zone earlier.

6. Since the petitioner's grievance, regarding such classification,

does not subsist as on date, we see no reason to dwell on this aspect

any further. Suffice it, while dismissing the writ petition as

infructuous, to make it clear that the order now passed by us shall

not disable the petitioner, if he is aggrieved by any unreasonable

restriction imposed by the State Government later, to avail his

judicial remedies.

7. Subject to the aforesaid observations, the Writ Petition is

dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

(R.C. Khulbe, J.)

(Ramesh Ranganathan, C.J.) 21.05.2020

21.05.2020

Rathour