SL. No	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGES'S ORDERS
	25.07.2024		SPA No. 231 of 2024
			<u>Hon'ble Ritu Bahri, C.J.</u>
			Hon'ble Rakesh Thapliyal, J.
			Mr. Devadatt Kamat, learned Senior Advocate,
			assisted by Mr. Vikas Bahuguna, Ms. Revanta Solanki
			and Hruday P. Bajentri, learned counsel for the
			appellants, Mr. P.C. Bisht, learned Additional Chief
			Standing Counsel for the State of
			Uttarakhand/respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and Mr. Nishant
			Krishna Adhikari, learned counsel holding brief of Mr.
			Ramji Srivastava, learned counsel for respondent No. 5.
			2. After hearing learned counsel for the parties at
			length, the short issue for consideration in this Appeal
			is that initially, in the 2020 Advertisement, total 2621
			posts of Nursing Officer were advertised. However,
			subsequently, this advertisement was withdrawn and
			the 2621 posts were diverted into two Departments and
			two separate selection processes were initiated. In the
			first selection process, 1564 posts were advertised and
			in that advertisement, one of the conditions was that

the preference will be given to the candidates, who are senior in graduation and the age, and pursuant to that condition, the selection was completed, and 1564 candidates, who were senior in graduation and the age, were given preference and appointed and subsequently, 1455 posts were advertised vide Advertisement dated 11th March, 2024 and in this Advertisement also, one of the conditions was that the persons, who are senior in graduation and the age, and in-service candidates will also be permitted to participate.

3. Since initially, there were 2621 posts, out of which 1564 posts have already been filled-up by giving the benefit of being senior in graduation and age, in the second advertisement, if the selected candidates are allowed to participate as per the condition of the relaxation they will be the ones to be selected again and this will cause discrimination to the candidates, who have not been given the benefit of fair selection only on the ground that they are senior in age.

4. Notice of motion.

6.

5. Let the respondents file their counter affidavits before the next date of listing.

In the meantime, the 1564 candidates, who have

	already	been	given	the	benefit	being	senior	in
	graduati	on and	age an	d hav	e been se	elected,	will not	be
	allowed	to parti	cipate ii	n this	selection	n proces	S.	
	7. Lis	st this r	matter c	on 27.	11.2024.			
	(Rake	esh Thap	liyal, J)		(Ritu E	Bahri, CJ)		
	25	.07.2024	Ļ		25	.07.2024		
	Rathour							