

"C.R."

### IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

### PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL K.NARENDRAN

æ

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.G. AJITHKUMAR  $\mbox{THURSDAY, THE } 5^{\text{TH}} \mbox{ DAY OF SEPTEMBER } 2024 \mbox{ / } 14 \mbox{TH BHADRA, } 1946$ 

O.P. (KAT) NO. 406 OF 2023

AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 17.11.2022 IN OA NO.1719 OF 2020 OF THE KERALA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM

### PETITIONERS/RESPONDENTS 1 TO 3:

- 1 KERALA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION,
  REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY, KERALA PUBLIC
  SERVICE COMMISSION, PATTOM, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM,
  KERALA, PIN 695004.
- 2 THE DISTRICT OFFICER, KERALA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION, DISTRICT OFFICE, KOTTAYAM, KERALA - 686004.
- 3 DEPUTY SECRETARY (EXAMINATIONS) I,
  KERALA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION, PATTOM,
  THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, KERALA, PIN 695004.

BY ADV P.C.SASIDHARAN, SC FOR KPSC



O.P.(KAT) No.406 of 2023

# RESPONDENTS/APPLICANT & RESPONDENTS 4 TO 6:

- DINEESH K M,
  AGED 31 YEARS
  S/O MURALEEDHARAN K K, KADAPURATHU (H),
  KULAYETTIKKARA P O, ARAYANKAVU, ERNAKULAM,
  KERALA, PIN 682317.
- 2 REMYA.T.R, THENGUMTHOTTATHIL, NADACKAL.P.O., ANIYILAPPU, KOTTAYAM, PIN - 686124.
- 3 VILLAGE OFFICER,
  AMBALLUR VILLAGE OFFICE, AMABLLUR, ERNAKULAM,
  KERALA, PIN 682315.
- 4 STATE OF KERALA,
  REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
  DEPARTMENT OF AYUSH, SECRETARIAT,
  THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, KERALA, PIN 694995.
- 5\* KIRTADS

  KERALA INSTITUTE FOR RESEARCH TRAINING AND

  DEVELOPMENT STUDIES OF SCHEDULED CASTES AND

  SCHEDULED TRIBES(KIRTADS), REPRSENTED BY ITS

  DIRECTOR, DIRECTORATE OF KIRTADS, CHEVAYUR,

  KOZHIKODE-613017.

\*IS SUO MOTO IMPLEADED AS ADDITIONAL R5 AS PER ORDER DATED 20/6/2024 IN OP(KAT)406/23

R1 BY ADVS. VARUN C.VIJAY DIVYA CHANDRAN R2 TO R5 BY SMT. VINEETHA B, SR. GP

THIS OP KERALA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL HAVING COME UP FOR FINAL HEARING ON 21.08.2024, THE COURT ON 05.09.2024 DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:





O.P.(KAT) No.406 of 2023

"C.R."
ANIL K. NARENDRAN & P.G. AJITHKUMAR, JJ.
O.P.(KAT) No.406 of 2023

Dated this the 5<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2024

JUDGMENT

# P.G.Ajithkumar, J.

The 1<sup>st</sup> respondent filed O.A.No.1719 of 2020 before the Kerala Administrative Tribunal. He sought to set aside Annexure A5 ranked list to the extent it failed to show him as an other backward community candidate. Consequential reliefs including his advise for appointment were also sought. The Administrative Tribunal allowed the original application. It was, however, directed further that rights of the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent, who was already appointed, should not be affected. Kerala Public Service Commission, aggrieved by that order, filed this original petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India.

2. Heard the learned Standing Counsel for the Kerala Public Service Commission, the learned counsel for the  $1^{\rm st}$  respondent and the learned Senior Government Pleader.





O.P.(KAT) No.406 of 2023

- 3. Respondents No.1 and 2 were applicants for selection to the post of Attender Grade II in Homoeopathy Department in Kottayam District. In Annexure A5 ranked list, the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent was placed at 14 and the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent was placed at 18. The 1st respondent claimed reservation available to other backward communities. In his profile, he claimed that he belongs to other backward community and produced Annexure A2 non-creamy layer certificate. His caste was shown in Annexure A2 as Pattariyas. The caste name shown in his SSLC is Padmasaliya. The petitioner was asked to explain the discrepancy, consequent to which he produced Annexure A3 wherein the Village Officer certified that the petitioner belonged to Hindu-Padmasaliya (Pattarya) community.
- 4. The ranked list was approved on 28.04.2020. However, requiring clarity in relation to the claim of the petitioner for reservation, the  $2^{nd}$  petitioner addressed the  $1^{st}$  petitioner. Annexure A8 is the said letter. Later the ranked list was published, wherein the petitioner was denied benefit of



O.P.(KAT) No.406 of 2023

OBC reservation. The stand of the petitioners as reflected in Annexure A8 and also from the reply-statement is that a Gazette notification correcting the caste name of the petitioner was necessary to extend him the benefit of reservation. Instead of Gazette notification, the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent produced Annexure A3 certificate alone and therefore he was denied the benefit available to OBC.

- 5. The petitioners produced Ext.R2(b) Government order dated 28.05.2020 including Padmasali community also in the list of OBCs. A Gazette notification in this regard was issued only on 14.08.2022. The learned Standing Counsel for the petitioners would submit that having the ranked list been approved as early as on 28.04.2020, the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent could not claim the benefit of Ext.R2(b) Government order dated 28.05.2020 or the subsequent Gazette notification.
- 6. Certainly, it is within the powers of the Kerala Public Service Commission to determine whether the application submitted by a candidate is valid and it satisfies the requirements of the notification. See: **Kerala Public Service**



O.P.(KAT) No.406 of 2023

Commission v. Varghese [ILR 1977 (1) Ker.523], Kerala Public Service Commission v. Saroja Nambiar [ILR 1978 (2) Ker.241] and Rangaswamy v. Public Service Commission [1982 KLT 574].

- 7. The question here is concerning a different aspect. The 1<sup>st</sup> respondent submitted application claiming him to be a member of Pattariya community. The name of his community mentioned in his SSLC is Padmasali. If the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent has a claim that his caste name was mistakenly stated in his SSLC, what is the procedure enabling him to get the mistake corrected? The contention of the petitioners is that a Gazette notification in that regard should be produced. They place reliance in that regard on the general directions in that regard notified in the official Gazette.
- 8. Annexure R2(a) contained the instructions issued regarding submission of certificates by candidates claiming to be members of backward classes. Clause (g)(ii) reads as follows:

<sup>&</sup>quot;(g) <u>അപേക്ഷകന്റെ ജനനത്തീയതി, ജാതി, മതം എന്നിവ സൂൾ രേഖകളിൽനിന്ന്</u> വ്യസ്ത്യസ്തമാണെങ്കിൽ :

<sup>(</sup>ii) പേര്, മതം, സമുദായം എന്നിവയിൽ വ്യത്യാസം ഉണ്ടെങ്കിൽ (എഴുതുമ്പോൾ പറ്റന്ന





O.P.(KAT) No.406 of 2023

കൈപ്പിഴ ഒഴികെ) ഉദ്യോഗാർത്ഥി സ്കൾ വിട്ടതിന ശേഷം ശരിയായത് സ്ഥാപിച്ചകിട്ടന്നതിന വേണ്ടി സ്ഥലം തഹശീൽദാരിൽ നിന്നോ തഹശീൽദാരേക്കാൾ ഉയർന്ന പദവിയിലുള്ള റവന്യ വകപ്പിലെ ഉദ്യോഗസ്ഥൻമാരിൽ നിന്നോ സർട്ടിഫിക്കറ്റ് വാങ്ങിയതിനശേഷം ഗസറ്റിൽ ചെയ്യേണ്ടതാണ്. (24–5–1985–ലെ സർക്കാർ ഉത്തരവ് (എം.എസ്.) നമ്പർ 195/85 ജി.എ.ഡി.). പ്രസ്തത ഗസറ്റ് വിജ്ഞാപനത്തിന്റെ സാക്ഷ്യപ്പെടുത്തിയ പകർപ്പ് എസ്.എസ്.എൽ.സി. യിലോ സൂൾ, അഡ്ലിഷൻ രജിസ്റ്ററിന്റെ പകർപ്പിലോ ചേർത്ത് വയ്ക്കേണ്ടതാണ്. അസ്സൽ രേഖകളിൽ മാറ്റം വരുത്തേണ്ടതില്ല.. (ii)(എ) എന്നാൽ, സമുദായത്തിന്റെ കാര്യത്തിൽ മാത്രം അപേക്ഷയിൽ അവകാശപ്പെട്ടിരിക്കുന്നത് എസ്.എസ്.എൽ.സി.യിൽ രേഖപ്പെടുത്തിയിരിക്കുന്ന തിൽ നിന്നം വ്യത്യസ്തമാണെങ്കിൽ ബന്ധപ്പെട്ട റവന്യ അധികാരിയിൽ നിന്നം ലഭിക്കുന്ന സർട്ടിഫിക്കറ്റ് ഹാജരാക്കിയാൽ മതിയാകും. പ്രസ്തത വ്യത്യാസം ഗസറ്റിൽ വിജ്ഞാപനം ചെയ്യേണ്ടതാണെന്ന വ്യവസ്ഥാ നിർബന്ധമാക്കുന്നില്ല."

- 9. What is thereby insisted on is production of a certificate from the revenue authority. It is specified that no Gazette notification is required to support the claim that the applicant belongs to a community other than which is mentioned in the SSLC. Indisputably, Annexure A3 was produced before publication of Annexure A5 ranked list.
- 10. When the above was the requirement for an applicant to claim that he belongs to a different community than what is mentioned in his SSLC, how could the petitioners insist on production of a Gazette notification in that regard. Therefore, the view taken by the Administrative Tribunal that



O.P.(KAT) No.406 of 2023

the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent was entitled to get the benefit of reservation, treating him as a candidate belonging to Pattariya community, cannot be said to be incorrect.

- 11. Although Annexure A5 ranked list was approved on 28.04.2020, it was published only on 19.10.2020. In the meantime, Ext.P8 order was issued including Padmasali community in the list of OBCs. A Gazette notification concerning that was issued only on 14.08.2022. The learned counsel for the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent contended that the said order and notification are declarations regarding the status of the community, Padmasali and it has retrospective effect. He places reliance on Manager, Corporate Educational Agency v. James Mathew [(2017) 15 SCC 595] and Praveen Kumar C.P. v. Kerala Public Service Commission [(2021) 17 SCC 383].
- 12. In **James Mathew [(2017) 15 SCC 595]** the Apex Court held that once the National Commission issued a certificate regarding the status of a minority education institution, it declared the existing status and had



O.P.(KAT) No.406 of 2023

retrospective effect. In **Praveen Kumar C.P.** [(2021) 17 SCC 383], the question was concerning recognition of equivalence of educational qualifications. It was held that once the university issues orders recognising the equivalent status of the degree obtained by the candidate from another University, the same would be the required qualification, irrespective of the fact that the employment notification was issued earlier. The said principle may not be applicable to the facts of this case. The Pattariya community was newly added in the list of OBCs. The benefit of that order cannot have retrospective application for, the same is not a declaration of a right or status, but it is creation of a new right.

13. Be that as it may, in the light of Annexure A3, which is a certification by the appropriate revenue authority having the effect of correction of the mistake in noting the caste of the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent in his SSLC, the petitioners should not have denied the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent the benefit of reservation. In view of that matter, the order of the Administrative Tribunal does not require interference. This petition lacks merits and it

10

O.P.(KAT) No.406 of 2023

is accordingly dismissed.

Sd/-

# ANIL K. NARENDRAN, JUDGE

Sd/-**P.G. AJITHKUMAR, JUDGE** 

dkr



O.P.(KAT) No.406 of 2023

# APPENDIX OF OP(KAT) 406/2023

#### PETITIONER ANNEXURES

ANNEXURE A1 TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION FORM DATED 14.06.2016 SUBMITTED BY THE APPLICANT FOR SELECTION AND APPOINTMENT TO THE POST OF ATTENDER GRADE 11 IN KOTTAYAM DISTRICT.

ANNEXURE A2 TRUE COPY OF THE NON-CREAMY LAYER
CERTIFICATE DATED 20.01.2020
PERTAINING TO THE APPLICANT

ANNEXURE A3 TINE COPY OF THE NON CREAMY LAYER
CERTIFICATE DATED 18.06.2020
PERTAINING TO THE APPLICANT

ANNEXURE A4 TRUE COPY OF THE STATEMENT DOWNLOADED FROM THE OFFICIAL WEBSITE OF THE KPSC, SHOWING THE DATE OF PUBLICATION OF THE RANKED LIST FOR THE POST OF ATTENDER GRADE II (CATEGORYNO092/2016) IN KOTTAYAM DISTRICT.

ANNEXURE A5 TRUE COPY OF THE RANKED LIST BEARING NO.176/2020 DOK (CATEGORY NO.092/2016) PUBLISHED W.E.F. 28.04.2020 FOR THE POST OF ATTENDER GRADE 11 IN THE HOMEOPATHY DEPARTMENT IN KOTTAYAM DISTRICT.

ANNEXURE A6 TRUE COPY OF THE OBJECTION DATED 27.10.2020 SUBMITTED BY THE APPLICANT BEFORE THE 3RD RESPONDENT.

ANNEXURE A7 TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER NO. KVI(1)136096/19(1) G DATED 12.11.2020 ISSUED B THE 2ND RESPONDENT.

ANNEXURE A8 TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER NO.KV1(1)136096/19 DATED 23.06.2020 ISSUED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT



O.P.(KAT) No.406 of 2023

ANNEXURE A9	TRUE COPY OF THE APPOINTMENT CHART DOWNLOADED FROM THE OFFICIAL WEBSITE OF THE KPSC
ANNEXURE R2(A)	TRUE COPY OF RELEVANT PAGES OF GENERAL CONDITIONS INSISTING FOR GAZETTE PUBLICATION
ANNEXURE R2(B)	TRUE COPY OF THE GO (MS) NO.08/2020/BDD DATED 28/05/2020
EXHIBIT P1	THE TRUE COPY OF THE ORIGINAL APPLICATION DATED 27/10/2020 IN O.A.NO.1719/2020 ALONG WITH ANNEXURES
EXHIBIT P2	THE TRUE COPY OF THE REPLY STATEMENT FILED BY THE COMMISSION
EXHIBIT P3	THE TRUE COPY OF THE INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION M.A.NO. 1747/2020 IN O.A.NO.1719/2020 DATED 26/11/2020 TO RECEIVE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS
EXHIBIT P4	THE TRUE COPY OF THE INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION DATED 20/07/2022 TO RECEIVE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS
EXHIBIT P5	THE TRUE COPY OF THE MISCELLANEOUS APPLICATION M.A.NO.2007/2022 IN O.A.NO.1719/2020 DATED 7/10/2022 TO RECEIVE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS
EXHIBIT P6	THE TRUE COPY OF THE INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION DATED 28/10/2022 TO RECEIVE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS
EXHIBIT P7	THE TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 17/11/2022 IN O.A.NO.1719/2020 PASSED BY THE LEARNED TRIBUNAL





O.P.(KAT) No.406 of 2023

EXHIBIT P8 THE TRUE COPY OF THE GOVERNMENT ORDER G.O(MS) NO.08/2020/BDD DATED 28/5/2020

RESPONDENT EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT R1(A) A TRUE COPY OF THE STATEMENT FILED ON BEHALF OF THE 5TH RESPONDENT IN O.A.NO.1719/2020 DATED 28.06.2022