

2024:KER:78415

# IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE GOPINATH P.

TUESDAY, THE 22ND DAY OF OCTOBER 2024 / 30TH ASWINA, 1946

WP(C) NO. 35393 OF 2024

#### PETITIONER/S:

ELSY JOY, AGED 55 YEARS
NO. 1/609-A, CHOWWANNUR, THRISSUR, PIN - 680517

BY ADVS.
PADMANATHAN K.V.
R.SREEJITH

#### RESPONDENT/S:

- THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER OF STATE TAX,
  STATE GOODS AND SERVICES TAX DEPARTMENT,
  SPECIAL CIRCLE, POOTHOLE, THRISSUR, PIN 680004
- 2 STATE TAX OFFICER, CHAVAKKAD, STATE GOODS AND SERVICES TAX DEPARTMENT, MINI CIVIL STATION, CHAVAKKAD, PIN - 680506
- THE JOINT COMMISSIONER OF STATE TAX (APPEALS), STATE GOODS AND SERVICES TAX DEPARTMENT, THIRD FLOOR, STATE GST COMPLEX, POOTHOLE, THRISSUR, PIN - 680004
- THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER (ARREAR RECOVERY, TAXPAYER SERVICE, STATE GST DEPARTMENT, THRISSUR, PIN 680004
- 5 STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, TAXES DEPT., GOVT. SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695001

2024: KER: 78415

WP(C) NO. 35393 OF 2024

2

- 6 CENTRAL BOARD OF INDIRECT TAXES & CUSTOMS,
  GST POLICY WING, NORTH BLOCK, NEW DELHI
  REPRESENTED BY PRINCIPAL COMMISSIONER (GST), PIN 110001
- 7 UNION OF INDIA, REPRESENTED BY SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, MINISTRY OF FINANCE (DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE), NORTH BLOCK, NEW DELHI, PIN - 110001

BY ADV DAYASINDHU SHREEHARI N.S., CGC SMT. JASMIN M.M, GP

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 22.10.2024, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

#### **JUDGMENT**

Petitioner has approached this Court, challenging Ext.P4 order issued under the provisions of Section 73 of the CGST/SGST Acts, for the year 2017-18, on a short ground.

- 2. It is the case of the petitioner that, though by Ext.P2 notice dated 20.06.2023, the petitioner had given time till 21.07.2023 to file a reply, Ext.P4 order was passed on 11.07.2023, even before the time for filing reply had expired.
- 3. Learned Government Pleader and the learned Standing Counsel appearing for the 6<sup>th</sup> respondent would, however, contend that the petitioner has already availed the remedy of filing an appeal against Ext.P4 order, claiming the benefit of Ext.P10 notification (issued in the matter of limitation for filing appeals), which clearly, on its terms, was not applicable to the petitioner. It is submitted that, the appeal filed by the petitioner was also rejected by Ext.P7 order finding that it was barred by limitation. It is submitted that, in such circumstances, the only remedy of the petitioner is to file a second appeal and he has not made out any ground to approach this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.



4

2024:KER:78415

4. Having heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, the learned Government Pleader and the learned Standing Counsel appearing for the  $6^{\text{th}}$  respondent, I am of the opinion that the petitioner is entitled to succeed. Though the petitioner may have filed an appeal against Ext.P4 order (which was rejected by Ext.P7 order as being time barred), the fact remains that Ext.P7 order was passed in violation of principles of natural justice. It is clear from Ext.P2 that the petitioner was given time till 21.07.2023 to reply to the show cause notice. Ext.P4 order appears to have been passed on 11.07.2023, even before the time for filing a reply had expired. Therefore, on this short ground, Ext.P4 order is liable to be set aside. The fact that the petitioner had filed an appeal against Ext.P4 is no ground to refuse relief to the petitioner as the original order was clearly issued in violation of principles of natural justice.

Accordingly, the writ petition is allowed by setting aside Ext.P4 order and restoring the assessment of the petitioner for the year 2017-18, to the file of the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent, who shall pass fresh orders, after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner. The petitioner shall file its reply to the show cause notice (if not already filed)



5

2024:KER:78415

within a period of two weeks from today and thereafter, the matter shall be adjudicated by the  $2^{\rm nd}$  respondent, as directed above, after hearing the petitioner. As a consequence of setting aside of Ext.P4, Ext.P7 order of the Appellate Authority also will stand set aside. Since the order has been set aside for reasons indicated above, it is directed that the time from the date of passing of Ext.P4 order till today shall be excluded for the purposes of determining any period of limitation within which such order had to be issued by the  $2^{\rm nd}$  respondent.

Sd/-

GOPINATH P.

**JUDGE** 



6

2024:KER:78415

## APPENDIX OF WP(C) 35393/2024

### PETITIONER EXHIBITS

Exhibit P1	TRUE COPY OF THE SHOW CAUSE NOTICE DTD. 3-11-2022 ISSUED BY THE 1ST RESPONDENT HEREIN ALONG WITH THE SCREENSHOT OF THE PORTAL
Exhibit P2	TRUE COPY OF THE SUMMARY OF SHOW CAUSE NOTICE IN FORM DRC-01 DTD. 20-06-2023
Exhibit P3	TRUE COPY OF THE REMAINDER LETTER DTD. 26-06-2023
Exhibit P4	TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER DTD. 11-07-2023 PASSED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT
Exhibit P5	TRUE COPY OF THE SUMMARY OF ORDER IN DRC-07 DTD. 12-07-2023
Exhibit P6	TRUE COPY OF THE APPEAL MEMORANDUM IN FORM APL-01 DTD. 26-01-2024
Exhibit P7	TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 31/07/2024 REJECTING APPEAL PASSED BY THE 3RD RESPONDENT
Exhibit P8	TRUE COPY OF THE NOTICE OF RECOVERY DTD. 26-09-2024
Exhibit P9	TRUE COPIES OF THE CERTIFICATE ISSUED BY SUPPLIERS DATED 15.01.2024
Exhibit P10	TRUE COPY OF THE NOTIFICATION DTD. 02-11-2023