105 CRM-357-2023 in/and CRM-M-453-2023

CHARANJIT SINGH@CHANNI VS STATE OF PUNJAB

Present: Mr. Bipan Ghai, Senior Advocate with

Mr. Nikhil Ghai, Advocate with

Mr. Deepanshu Mehta, Advocate and Mr. Prabhdeep Singh Bindra, Advocate

for the applicant/petitioner.

Learned Senior counsel for the applicant/petitioner contends that no Court can take cognizance of an offence punishable under Section 188 IPC, except on the complaint in writing made by a public servant concerned or of some other public servant to whom he is administratively subordinate. The cognizance has been taken by the trial Court on presentation of challan on 21.06.2022 and the petitioner has received summons to appear before the trial Court on 12.01.2023.

Learned Senior counsel for the applicant/petitioner relies upon pendency of CRM-M No.59436 of 2022 which is fixed for 20.02.2023.

In terms of Section 2 (d) Cr.P.C, the complaint means any allegation made orally or in writing to a Magistrate, with a view to his taking action under the Code, that some person, whether known or unknown, has committed any offence, but does not include a police report. Similarly under Section 2(r) Cr.P.C., police report means a report forwarded by a police officer to the Magistrate under Sub-Section (2) of Section 173.

Cognizance on a police report is barred in terms of Section 195 (1) Cr.P.C.

Notice of motion returnable on 20.02.2023.

To be heard along with CRM-M No.59436 of 2022.

Interim direction in the same terms as passed in CRM-M No.59436 of 2022 titled Arun Narang vs. U.T. Chandigarh and others.

09.01.2023 P.Bhatt (RAJ MOHAN SINGH) JUDGE