36

-1-

259+101 CM-11454-CWP-2024; CM-1197-CWP-2024;

CM-1198-CWP-2024; CM-9813-CWP-2024;

CM-10636-CWP-2024 in CWP-463-2023

NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY OF INDIA AND ORS. VS STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

Present :-

Mr. Chetan Mittal, Sr. Advocate with

Mr. R.S. Madan, and Mr. Mayank Aggarwal,

Advocate for the applicants.

Mr. Saurav Verma, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Ankit Bishnoi, Advocate and

Mr. Harpreet S. Sidhu, Advocate for the applicants

in CM-11454-CWP-2024.

CM-11454-CWP-2024

Prayer in the application is for impleadment of the applicants as

intervener.

After arguing at some length, learned counsel for the applicants

submits that he does not want to press the present application so as to take

recourse to the alternative efficacious available remedies in accordance with

law.

Disposed of as not pressed with the liberty as aforesaid.

Main case:

Reply by way of affidavit dated 22.07.2024 of Mr. Arpit

Shukla, IPS, Special Director General of Police, Law and Order, Punjab,

along with Annexure R-1 on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 has been filed

today in the Court and the same is taken on record. Copy thereof has been

furnished to the learned counsel opposite. Registry is directed to tag the

same at an appropriate place.

It is evident from a perusal of the said reply that security

arrangements for ensuring smooth operations of the Toll Plazas has not been

of 3

37

-2-

259+101 CM-11454-CWP-2024; CM-1197-CWP-2024; CM-1198-CWP-2024; CM-9813-CWP-2024; CM-10626 CMP-2024; CM-9813-CWP-2024;

CM-10636-CWP-2024 in CWP-463-2023

made by the respondent-State. The said officer had earlier filed an affidavit

dated 15.02.2023 before this Court assuring therein that the Police would

ensure that no untoward incident occurs or any damage is caused to the

infrastructure of the Toll Plazas. Similar undertaking was also reiterated on

behalf of the respondents in the subsequent affidavit dated 12.07.2023 as

well.

Recurrence of the problem is itself reflective of the lacking

resolve on the part of the Government and its adhoc approach to the issues

brought before it. A specific query had also been put to the learned State

counsel as to whether the operation at the Toll Plazas are being carried out in

violation of any statutory provisions or against the provisions of the National

Highways Act,1956 and Rules and Regulations framed thereunder. He has

not been able to indicate any illegality committed by the National Highways

Authority of India or the concessioners, as would give a right to a protestor

to take control over the Toll Plaza.

This Court pointed out that the Hon'ble Supreme Court has

specifically held in the judgment reported as 2014 (6) SCC (Criminal) 298

and titled as 'Beenu Rawat and others Vs. Union of India and others' that

although the protestors have right to carry out the demonstrations against

public functionaries, it is the duty of the Police to maintain law and order

and ensure that the protestors do not break the law and similar responsibility

also vests on the protestors. Proper balance amongst such rights and duties

is required to be maintained.

2 of 3

38

-3-

259+101 CM-11454-CWP-2024; CM-1197-CWP-2024;

CM-1198-CWP-2024; CM-9813-CWP-2024;

CM-10636-CWP-2024 in CWP-463-2023

Hence, the duty has also been cast upon the protestors to abide

by the mandate of law. In an anxiety to put-forth their point, they should not

traverse the rights that have been conferred upon other persons including

statutory authorities. It is evident that the Police as well as the protestors

have failed to ensure that the duties/responsibilities enjoined upon them are

discharged to the fullest.

Learned State counsel on instructions from Mr. Arpit Shukla,

IPS, Special Director General of Police, Law and Order, Punjab submits that

the protests leading to closure of any/all Toll Plaza(s) in the State of Punjab

shall be removed within a period of 04 weeks. This undertaking made by

the learned State counsel on specific instructions from Special Director

General of Police, Law and Order, Punjab is accepted in the interest of

justice and to provide an opportunity to the respondent-State to deal with the

matter in its own wisdom.

Let the necessary affidavit be filed by the respondents about

compliance of the undertaking given in the Court today.

To come up on 13.09.2024 for further consideration.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ) JUDGE

23.07.2024

Mangal Singh

3 of 3