

LA.APP. NO.147 OF 2021

& Conn. Cases

<u>214</u>

JUDGMENT

[LA.App. Nos.147/2021, 5/2023, 15/2023, 29/2023, 69/2019, 156/2020, 108/2020, 101/2020, 221/2020, 136/2020, 140/2020, 174/2020, 79/2020, 58/2019, 48/2019, 56/2019, 45/2019, 143/2020, 162/2018, 170/2018, 165/2021, 129/2021, 211/2021, 151/2021, 143/2021, 589/2022, 4/2023, 130/2021, 152/2021, 120/2019, 118/2019, 139/2019, 121/2019, 114/2019, 270/2021, 153/2021, 145/2021, 152/2020, 120/2020, 154/2020, 158/2021, 157/2021, 184/2021, 225/2021, 241/2021, 180/2021, 255/2021, 213/2021, 212/2021, 181/2020, 182/2020, 197/2020, 211/2019, 162/2019, 164/2019, 166/2019, 208/2021, 275/2019, 187/2019, 217/2021, 11/2020, 218/2019, 215/2018, 217/2019, 96/2023, 348/2018, 344/2018, 230/2021, 230/2018, 319/2018, 350/2018, 364/2018, 355/2018, 332/2018, 337/2018, 285/2019, 294/2019, 22/2020, 53/2020, 46/2020, 32/2020, 334/2018, 333/2018, 343/2018, 358/2018]

Amit Rawal, J.

This order shall dispose of twelve(12)
 appeals preferred by the State of Kerala for setting aside the



LA.APP. NO.147 OF 2021

& Conn. Cases

<u>215</u>

award of the Reference Court enhancing the amount more than the one awarded by the Land Acquisition Officer and seventy six(76) appeals on behalf of the land owners for enhancement of compensation as awarded by the Land Acquisition Officer on the ground that Land Acquisition Officer ought not to have adopted belting system while enhancing the compensation much less no reasons have been assigned ignoring the sale deed No.6977/07 dated 20.08.2007 which has been relied upon by this Court in other matters.

2. State of Kerala caused a notification under Section 4 of the erstwhile Land Acquisition Act, 1894, for construction of Brahmapuram Solid Waste Treatment Plant in piece-meal. It is pertinent to mention here that in November 2007 ie., on 10.11.2007 notification under Section 4 was issued for construction of Brahmapuram Solid



LA.APP. NO.147 OF 2021

& Conn. Cases

216

Waste Treatment Plant Phase-1.

3. The possession of the aforementioned land was taken on 01.03.2010 Land Acquisition Officer pronounced the award on 16.10.2012. The Land Acquisition Officer as per the award aforementioned awarded the compensation by categorizing the land into eight(8) categories, the details are given below with compensation awarded against each category:

Category	Nature of land	Price Value Per Are
I	Original dry land with frontage of Brahmapuram- Karimukal Road	Rs.4,56,555/-
II	Reclaimed dry land with frontage of Brahmapuram - Karimukal Road	Rs.4,10,900/-
III	Original dry land lying interior	Rs.3,48,084/-
IV	Reclaimed dry land lying interior	Rs.3,13,276/-
V	Land lying interior as Thodu	Rs.2,43,659/-



LA.APP. NO.147 OF 2021

& Conn. Cases

<u>217</u>

and Chira (adjacent to water log bodies)

VI	Wetland lying near roa	ad	Rs.2,08,850/-
VII	Wetland lying interior		Rs.1,74,042/-
VIII	Dry land with from Panchayat road	ntage of	Rs.3,88,072/-

4. The land owners since aggrieved of the meagre compensation awarded by the Land Acquisition Officer sought preference under Section 18 of the erstwhile Land Acquisition Act before the Reference Court. Besides examining the oral witnesses AW1 to AW3 and Commissioner's report Ext.C1 to C2, both parties brought on record following documents as exhibits:

A1	28.06.2010	Letter from Spl.Tahsildar (LA) to District Collector, Ernakulam
A2	23.06.2008	Certified Copy of Sale Deed No.5000/08 of SRO, Puthencruz
A3	23.06.2008	Certified Copy of Sale Deed No.5001/08 of SRO, Puthencruz



LA.APP. NO.147 OF 2021

& Conn. Cases

<u>218</u>

A4	23.06.2008	Certified Copy of Sale Deed No.5002/08 of SRO, Puthencruz
A5	20.08.2007	Certified Copy of Sale Deed No.6977/07 of SRO, Puthencruz
A6	28.04.2008	Certified Copy of Sale Deed No.3384/08 of SRO, Puthencruz
A7	24.10.2008	Certified Copy of Sale Deed No.7897/08 of SRO, Puthencruz
A8	23.07.2008	Certified Copy of Sale Deed No.5982/08 of SRO, Puthencruz
A9	06.03.2008	Certified Copy of Lease Deed No.896/08 of SRO, Kakkanad
A10	12.03.2013	Certified Copy of Judgment in LAR 138/2010 of Sub Court, Perumbavoor.
A11	30.03.2013	Certified Copy of Judgment in LAR 131/2010 of Sub Court, Perumbavoor.
A12	26.04.2010	True copy of Erratum Notification
A13	28.04.2010	True Copy of Erratum Notification in Kerala Gazatte
A14	08.02.2010	Notice issued by LAO to the Claimants.
A15	03.03.2010	Power of Attorney signed by



LA.APP. NO.147 OF 2021

& Conn. Cases

<u>219</u>

		Subaida and CM.Ali.
A16	-	True Copy of Valuation Statement in LAR.22/13
A17	-	True Copy of Valuation Statement in LAR.21/13
Responde	nts Exhibits	
R1(a)	04.09.2012	Proceedings of District Collector, Ernakulam
R1(b)	16.10.2012	Award No.8/2012
R1(c)	-	Note to Award
R1(d)	-	Valuation Statement
R1(e)	-	Sketch
R1(f)	10.09.2012	Mahazar in LAC.7/2009
R2(a)	04.09.2012	Proceedings of District Collector, Ernakulam
R2(b)	16.10.2012	Award No.7/2012
R2(c)		Note to Award
R2(d)	-	Valuation Statement
R2(e)	-	Sketch
R2(f)	10.09.2012	Mahazar in Lac.5/2009



LA.APP. NO.147 OF 2021

& Conn. Cases

<u>220</u>

R3(a)	04.09.2012	Proceedings of District Collector, Ernakulam
R3(b)	16.10.2012	Award No.9/2012
R3(c)	-	Note to Award
R3(d)	-	Valuation statement
R3(e)	-	Sketch
R3(f)	10.09.2012	Mahazar in LAC.124/2009
R4(a)	-	Valuation Report of Spl.Tahsildar, Kochi Corporation
R4(b)	31.08.2010	Award No.29/2010
R4(c)	-	Note to Award
R4(d)	-	Valuation Statement
R4(e)	-	Sketch
R4(f)	08.01.2010	Mahazar in LAC.95/2009
R5(a)	15.12.2012	Proceedings of District Collector, Ernakulam
R5(b)	18.04.2013	Award No.6/2013
R5(c)	-	Note to Award
R5(d)	-	Valuation Statement



LA.APP. NO.147 OF 2021

& Conn. Cases

<u>221</u>

R5(e)	-	Sketch
R5(f)	26.02.2013	Mahazar in LAC.10/2009
R6(a)	15.12.2012	Proceedings of District Collector, Ernakulam
R6(b)	18.04.2013	Award No.7/2013
R6(c)	-	Note to Award
R6(d)	-	Valuation Statement
R6(e)	-	Sketch
R6(f)	26.02.2013	Mahazaar in LAC.11/2009
R6(g)	-	Certificate of valuation of structure
R7(a)	15.12.2012	Proceedings of District Collector, Ernakulam
R7(b)	18.04.2013	Award No.8/2013
R7(c)	-	Note to Award
R7(d)	-	Valuation Statement
R7(e)	-	Sketch
R7(f)	26.02.2013	Mahazar in LAC.12/2009
R7(g)	-	Valuation of plants



LA.APP. NO.147 OF 2021

& Conn. Cases

<u>222</u>

R8(a)	10.06.2013	Proceedings of District Collector, Ernakulam
R8(b)	21.08.2013	Award No.59/2013
R8(c)	-	Note to Award
R8(d)	-	Valuation Statement
R8(e)	-	Sketch
R8(f)	01.07.2013	Mahazar in LAC.126/2009
R9(a)	10.06.2013	Proceedings of District Collector, Ernakulam
R9(b)	21.08.2013	Award No.58/2013
R9(c)	-	Note to Award
R9(d)	-	Valuation Statement
R9(e)	-	Sketch
R9(f)	01.07.2013	Mahazar in LAC.120/2009
R10(a)	10.06.2013	Proceedings of District Collector, Ernakulam
R10(b)	21.08.2013	Award No.57/2013
R10(c)	-	Note to Award
R10(d)	-	Valuation Statement



LA.APP. NO.147 OF 2021

& Conn. Cases

223

R10(e)	-	Sketch
R10(f)	01.07.2013	Mahazar in LAC.103/2009
R11	28.01.2008	Certified copy of Sale Deed No.1186/08 of SRO, Puthencruz

5. The basic document which the Land Acquisition Officer relied upon for determining compensation is Sale deed bearing No.3720 dated 12.05.2008 of an area measuring 19.86 Ares of land. Vendee in the aforementioned property is also construction company. The contention of the land owners for enhancement of compensation was rejected by the Reference Court in paragraph No.65 whereas, of the respondent-State/appellants in twelve(12) appeals, allowed in in paragraph No.67. Paragraph Nos.65 and 67 reads as under:

65. On scrutinising Exts. A2 to A9, the said documents were executed in favour of companies and property



LA.APP. NO.147 OF 2021

& Conn. Cases

<u>224</u>

developers. Claimants did not produce any document involving the property transaction of common man. Claimants did not adduce any reason for not producing such a document. Without producing the documents involving property transaction of common man, it cannot be concluded that in Exts. A2 to A9 the market value of the property prevailing in the locality were actually reflected. Hence Exts. A2 to A9 cannot be relied upon by the claimants to enhance the land value.

67. 2nd respondent produced Ext.R11 sale deed to contend that land value fixed by the Land Acquisition Officer in the acquired property was very high. Ext.R11 was actually sale deed No.1186/2008 in respect of the properties in Puthencruz Village. Ext.R11 was seen executed on 28-1-2008. Land value was shown in the said document in respect of 31.20 Ares of property in 'A' schedule as Rs. 11,56,350/- and in respect of 10.30 cents of property in 'B' schedule as Rs.3,81,500/- and in respect of 8.12 Ares of property in 'C' schedule as Rs.3,00,500/-. It is important to note that respondents did not produce the documents on the basis of which Land Acquisition Officer fixed the land value. Moreover, there existed no convincing evidence to prove that property mentioned in Ext.R11 are situated near to the acquired property in the present case even though properties were situated in Puthencruz Village. So Ext.R11 cannot be acted upon to



LA.APP. NO.147 OF 2021

& Conn. Cases

225

conclude that the land value fixed by the Land Acquisition Officer in respect of the acquired property was very high."

6. It has been brought to the notice that the sale deed dated 20.08.2007 is in respect of 2 Acres and 14 cents of land has been sold for a sum of Rs.10,09,227/-(Rupees ten lakhs nine thousand two hundred twenty seven only) per Are. It is pertinent to mention here one(1)Acre of land has 100 cents and 40.50 Ares. The sole reason for rejection of sale deed relied upon by the land owners was that big companies are capable of paying more price in the market. But while doing so, reference court remained oblivious of the fact that the basic document, as noticed above, in sale deed No.3720 dated 12.05.2008 relied upon by the Land Acquisition Officer, though not brought on record before the Reference Court, was also sold to a big company but at a different rate. It is seen that the prices of the property increases or decreases as per the demand and



LA.APP. NO.147 OF 2021

& Conn. Cases

<u>226</u>

supply. When there are more customers for purchasing the land in a particular area the prices over a span of few days increases exponentially. The sale deed dated 12.05.2008 relied upon by the Land Acquisition Officer was of a lesser value of Rs.4,57,358/- (Rupees four lakhs fifty seven thousand three hundred fifty eight only) per Are whereas after a gap of almost three months, the price increased many folds ie., at the rate of Rs.10,09,635/- (Rupees then lakhs nine thousand six hundred thirty five only) per Are. Both the Land Acquisition Officer as well as Reference Court have enhanced land by taking into consideration the category ie., adoption of belting system which is impermissible in view of the judgments in **Besco Limited** v. **State of Kerala** [2023] SCC Online SC 1071] as well as Bijender and Others v. State of Haryana and Another [(2018) 11 SCC 180]. Thus once the belting system is impermissible, one price is required to be determined for all the land owners, for, it



LA.APP. NO.147 OF 2021

& Conn. Cases

<u>227</u>

would not be conducive to cause discrimination among when the purpose for which it was acquired is one ie., Phase II of the Solid Waste and Treatment Plan. There was another batch/bunch of appeals wherein land owners relied on same sale deed dated 20.08.2007 while seeking enhancement of the amount of compensation. It is also pertinent to mention that basic document had also more road frontage and access. In other words, it was located at a far off place 4Kms away from the acquired land.

7. Sri.Vaghese K.Paul submitted that the State attempted to support their case for reduction of amount by relying upon Ext.R11 sale deed bearing No.1186/2008 dated 28.01.2008 in respect of land of 31.20 Ares, sold for an amount of Rs.11,56,350/- (Rupees eleven lakhs fifty six thousand three hundred fifty only) in total, i.e., around Rs.37,000/- (Rupees thirty seven thousand only) per Are. It was a deliberate attempt to reduce the value lesser than the



LA.APP. NO.147 OF 2021

& Conn. Cases

<u> 228</u>

market value. Therefore, learned Government Pleader cannot rely upon the aforementioned document in support of grounds taken in the appeals preferred by the State. Even the basic document ie, sale deed No.3720 dated 12.05.2008 in respect of a lesser area of land vis-à-vis Ext.A5 dated 20.08.2007 which is a larger tract of land for the repetition 2 Acres and 14 cents.

- 8. Noticing the aforementioned fact, we are of the view that Reference Court ought to have applied uniform rate in respect of land acquired under the notification aforementioned as it was being used for Phase-II of Brahmapuram Waste Treatment Plant by relying upon the sale deed much before the notification ie., 20.08.2007 and rightly so, ignored the basic document on the premise that it was not placed on record.
- 9. For the reason aforementioned, the award of the Reference Court is hereby modified and the compensation is



LA.APP. NO.147 OF 2021

& Conn. Cases

<u>229</u>

enhanced in respect of entire land consisting of different categories by applying uniform rate of Rs.10,00,000/- (Rupees ten lakhs only) per Are. Land owners will be entitled to other benefits awarded by the reference court, including statutory interest, along with proportionate cost. Accordingly the appeals preferred by the State are dismissed. Claimants' appeals are allowed in the aforementioned terms.

10. During the course of hearing we have also been confronted with the judgment of Division Bench of this Court in 2018 KER 28172 (LAA 345 of 2016 and connected cases) wherein, in paragraph 9, had relied upon the decision of this Court dated 27.02.2008 in L.A.A. No.73/2014 and connected cases whereby more than 150 matters had been disposed off, but amount enhanced has been by following the belting system, without noticing the judgment of Supreme Court reiterated in **Besco Limited** case (supra), therefore, the said judgment cannot be said to be judgment in rem but judgment



LA.APP. NO.147 OF 2021

& Conn. Cases

<u>230</u>

in *per incuriam*.

11. Delay in filing the appeals shall stand condoned. However, it is made clear that the claimants in the appeals which were delayed by more than 1000 days, shall not be entitled to claim benefit of interest for that period, as already ordered by the Division Bench while condoning the delay. Claimants are granted one month time from the date of receipt of copy of the judgment, to pay the balance court fee/any deficiency.

Sd/-

AMIT RAWAL
JUDGE

Sd/-

EASWARAN S. JUDGE

nak



LA.APP. NO.147 OF 2021

& Conn. Cases

<u>231</u>

APPENDIX OF LA.APP. 15/2023

PETITIONER ANNEXURES

Annexure1 THE ORIGINAL OF THE DISCHARGE SUMMARY
DATED 03/12/2020 ISSUED FROM VIJAYA
KUMARA MENON HOSPITAL, TRIPUNITHURA

Annexure2 THE ORIGINAL OF DISCHARGE SUMMARY DATED

16/11/2021 ISSUED FROM ERNAKULAM

MEDICAL CENTRE

Annexure3 THE ORIGINAL OF THE RADIATION ONCOLOGY

TREATMENT SUMMARY DATED 28/12/2021

ISSUED FROM AMRITA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL

SCIENCE



LA.APP. NO.147 OF 2021

& Conn. Cases

<u>232</u>

APPENDIX OF LA.APP. 69/2019

PETITIONER ANNEXURES

Annexure A1 SECONDARY SCHOOL MARK SHEET CUM CERTIFICATE



LA.APP. NO.147 OF 2021

& Conn. Cases

<u>233</u>

APPENDIX OF LA.APP. 56/2019

PETITIONER ANNEXURES

ANNEXURE A1	THE ORIGINAL OF THE DISCHARGE SUMMERY OF MR. VIJAYAN V, ISSUED FROM MEDICAL TRUST HOSPITAL, ERNAKULAM
ANNEXURE A2	THE ORIGINAL OF THE DISCHARGE SUMMERY OF VIJAYANV, ISSUED FORM LOURDES HOSPITAL, ERNAKULAM
ANNEXURE A3	THE ORIGINAL OF THE DEATH SUMMERY OF MR.VIJAYAN V, ISSUED FORM LOURDES HOSPITAL, ERNAKULAM
ANNEXURE A4	THE ORIGINAL OF THE TREATMENT CERTIFICATE OF MRS. VIJAYAN ISSUED BY DR. JYOTHI SREEKUMAR OF ANUGRAHA HEALTH CARE VAIKOM
ANNEXURE A5(A)	THE ORIGINAL OF THE PRESCRIPTION OF MR. VIJAYAN V, ISSUED FROM ASHTAVAIDYAN KRISHNAN NAMBOODIRI VAIDYAMADHAM VAIDYASAL.
ANNEXURE A5(B)	THE ORIGINAL OF THE PRESCRIPTION OF MR. VIJAYAN V, ISSUED FORM ASHTAVAIDYAN KRISHNAN NAMBOODIRI VAIDYAMADHAM VAIDYASALA
ANNEXURE A5(C)	THE ORIGINAL OF THE PRESCRIPTION OF MR. VIJAYAN V, ISSUED FROM ASHTAVAIDYAN KRISHNAN NAMBOODIRI VAIDYAMADHAM VAIDYASALA
ANNEXURE A5(C)	THE ORIGINAL OF THE PRESCRIPTION OF MR. VIJAYAN V, ISSUED FROM ASHTAVAIDYAN



LA.APP. NO.147 OF 2021

& Conn. Cases

<u>234</u>

KRISHNAN NAMBOODIRI VAIDYAMADHAM VAIDYASALA

ANNEXURE A5(D) THE ORIGINAL OF THE PRESCRIPTION OF MR.

VIJAYAN V, ISSUED FROM ASHTAVAIDYAN

KRISHNAN NAMBOODIRI VAIDYAMADHAM

VAIDYASALA



LA.APP. NO.147 OF 2021

& Conn. Cases

<u>235</u>

APPENDIX OF LA.APP. 162/2018

PETITIONER ANNEXURES

ANNEXURE A1	THE ORIGINAL OF MRI SCAN REPORT DATED 17.07.2013 OF HAWWA UMMA ISSUED BY DR. AMEERJAN SYED MUSTHAQ OF CITI SCAN, VYTTILA.
ANNEXURE A2	THE ORIGINAL OF REGISTRATION CHART DATED 17/07/2013 ISSUED FROM LAKESHORE HOSPITAL, KOCHI.
ANNEXURE A3	THE ORIGINAL OF CASH BILL DATED 10/09/2014, ISSUED FROM LAKESHORE HOSPITAL, KOCHI.
ANNEXURE A4	THE ORIGINAL OF DISCHARGE SUMMARY SURGERY, ISSUED FROM ALPHA HOSPITAL, KALOOR.
ANNEXURE A5 (A)	THE ORIGINAL OF DISCHARGE SUMMARY OF SULAIGHA ISSUED FROM MEDICAL TRUST HOSPITAL, ERNAKULAM.
ANNEXURE A5 (B)	THE ORIGINAL OF DISCHARGE SUMMARY OF SULAIGHA ISSUED FROM MEDICAL TRUST HOSPITAL, ERNAKULAM.
ANNEXURE A5 (C)	THE ORIGINAL OF DISCHARGE SUMMARY OF SULAIGHA ISSUED FROM MEDICAL TRUST HOSPITAL, ERNAKULAM.
ANNEXURE A6(A)	THE ORIGINAL OF DISCHARGE SUMMARY OF

PLASTIC SURGERY.

SULAIGHA ISSUED FROM SPECIALIST

HOSPITAL, ERNAKULAM WITH RESPECT TO HER



LA.APP. NO.147 OF 2021

& Conn. Cases

<u>236</u>

ANNEXURE A6(B)	THE ORIGINAL OF DISCHARGE SUMMARY OF SULAIGHA ISSUED FROM SPECIALIST HOSPITAL, ERNAKULAM WITH RESPECT TO HER PLASTIC SURGERY.
ANNEXURE A6(C)	THE ORIGINAL OF DISCHARGE SUMMARY OF SULAIGHA ISSUED FROM SPECIALIST HOSPITAL, ERNAKULAM WITH RESPECT TO HER PLASTIC SURGERY.
ANNEXURE A6(D)	THE ORIGINAL OF DISCHARGE SUMMARY OF SULAIGHA ISSUED FROM SPECIALIST HOSPITAL, ERNAKULAM WITH RESPECT TO HER PLASTIC SURGERY.
ANNEXURE A6(E)	THE ORIGINAL OF DISCHARGE SUMMARY OF SULAIGHA ISSUED FROM SPECIALIST HOSPITAL, ERNAKULAM WITH RESPECT TO HER PLASTIC SURGERY.
ANNEXURE A6(F)	THE ORIGINAL OF DISCHARGE SUMMARY OF SULAIGHA ISSUED FROM SPECIALIST HOSPITAL, ERNAKULAM WITH RESPECT TO HER PLASTIC SURGERY.
ANNEXURE A6(G)	THE ORIGINAL OF DISCHARGE SUMMARIES OF SULAIGHA ISSUED FROM SPECIALIST HOSPITAL, ERNAKULAM WITH RESPECT TO HER PLASTIC SURGERY.
ANNEXURE A7(A)	THE ORIGINAL OF DISCHARGE SUMMARY OF SUBAIR ISSUED FROM RENAI MEDICITY, PALARIVATTOM.
ANNEXURE A7(B)	THE ORIGINAL OF DISCHARGE SUMMARY OF MUHAMMED HAFIS ISSUED FROM RENAI MEDICITY, PALARIVATTOM.



LA.APP. NO.147 OF 2021

& Conn. Cases

<u>237</u>

APPENDIX OF LA.APP. 165/2021

PETITIONER ANNEXURES

Receipt issued from Mediation Centre,

High Court of Kerala



LA.APP. NO.147 OF 2021

& Conn. Cases

<u>238</u>

APPENDIX OF LA.APP. 589/2022

PETITIONER ANNEXURES

Annexure A1	THE COPY OF THE DISCHARGE SUMMARY OF MRS.NABEESA DATED 08.09.2013
Annexure A2	THE COPY OF THE DISCHARGE SUMMARY OF MRS.NABEESA DATED 19.02.2015
Annexure A3	THE COPY OF THE DISCHARGE SUMMARY OF MR.IBRAHIM V.A. DATED 16.10.2015
Annexure A4	THE COPY OF THE DISCHARGE SUMMARY OF MR.IBRAHIM V.A. DATED 26.11.2015
Annexure A5	THE COPY OF THE DISCHARGE SUMMARY OF MR.IBRAHIM V.A. DATED 15.12.2015
Annexure A6	THE COPY OF THE DISCHARGE SUMMARY OF MR.IBRAHIM V.A. DATED 24.11.2016
Annexure A7	THE COPY OF THE DISCHARGE SUMMARY OF MR.IBRAHIM V.A. DATED 06.05.2017
Annexure A8	ORIGINAL OF THE DISCHARGE SUMMARY OF MR.IBRAHIM V.A. DATED 29.03.2018
Annexure A9	ORIGINAL OF THE DISCHARGE SUMMARY OF MR.IBRAHIM V.A. DATED 20.06.2018
Annexure A10	TRUE OF THE DISCHARGE SUMMARY OF MR. IBRAHIM V.A. DATED 21.08.2019
Annexure A11	TRUE OF THE DISCHARGE SUMMARY OF MR. IBRAHIM V.A. DATED 16.11.2020
Annexure A12	ORIGINAL OF THE DISCHARGE SUMMARY OF MR.IBRAHIM V.A. DATED 15.11.2021



LA.APP. NO.147 OF 2021

& Conn. Cases

<u>239</u>

APPENDIX OF LA.APP. 4/2023

PETITIONER ANNEXURES

Annexure 1 THE TREATMENT SUMMARY OF MRS.PATHUKUTTY DATED 01.09.2015, ISSUED FROM SAMARITAN HOSPITAL, PAZHANGANAD.

Annexure 2 THE DEATH SUMMARY OF MR.C.M. IBRAHIM
DATED 18.11.2017, ISSUED FROM MEDICAL
TRUST HOSPITAL, ERNAKULAM.



LA.APP. NO.147 OF 2021

& Conn. Cases

<u> 240</u>

APPENDIX OF LA.APP. 213/2021

PETITIONER ANNEXURES

Annexure A1 THE ORIGINAL DISCHARGE SUMMARY DATED 11/05/2015 OF PAREED M.K., ISSUED BY DR. KOSHY EAPEN, SENIOR CONSULTANT CARDIOLOGIST, SAMARITAN HEART INSTITUTE.

Annexure A2 THE ORIGINAL DISCHARGE SUMMARY OF PAREED ISSUED FROM SAMARITAN HOSPITAL, PAZHANGANAD.

Annexure A3 THE ORIGINAL OF DISCHARGE SUMMARY OF
PAREED ISSUED FROM DEPARTMENT OF
GASTROENTEROLOGY AND THE LIVE UNIT,
ERNAKULAM MEDICAL CENTRE, PALARIVATTOM.



LA.APP. NO.147 OF 2021

& Conn. Cases

<u>241</u>

APPENDIX OF LA.APP. 217/2019

PETITIONER ANNEXURES

ANNEXURE A1	THE ORIGINAL OF DISCHARGE SUMMARY OF LALITHA SUKUMARAN, WITH DATE OF ADMISSION 28.11.2017 ISSUED FROM SUNRISE HOSPITAL, KAKKANAD.
ANNEXURE A2	THE ORIGINAL OF DISCHARGE SUMMARY OF LALITHA SUKUMARAN, WITH DATE OF ADMISSION 25.03.2018 ISSUED FROM SUNRISE HOSPITAL, KAKKANAD.
ANNEXURE A3	THE ORIGINAL OF DISCHARGE SUMMARY OF LALITHA SUKUMARAN, WITH DATE OF ADMISSION 19.03.2018 ISSUED FROM SUNRISE HOSPITAL, KAKKANAD.
ANNEXURE A4	THE ORIGINAL OF DISCHARGE SUMMARY OF LALITHA SUKUMARAN, WITH DATE OF ADMISSION 28.03.2018 ISSUED FROM SUNRISE HOSPITAL, KAKKANAD.
ANNEXURE A5	THE ORIGINAL OF DISCHARGE SUMMARY OF LALITHA SUKUMARAN, WITH DATE OF ADMISSION 16.02.2019 ISSUED FROM SUNRISE HOSPITAL, KAKKANAD.
ANNEXURE A6	THE ORIGINAL OF DISCHARGE SUMMARY OF LALITHA SUKUMARAN, WITH DATE OF ADMISSION, 22.03.2019 ISSUED FROM SUNRISE HOSPITAL, KAKKANAD.

ANNEXURE A7 THE ORIGINAL OF DISCHARGE SUMMARY OF LALITHA SUKUMARAN, WITH DATE OF ADMISSION, 01.05.2019 ISSUED FROM SUNRISE HOSPITAL, KAKKANAD.



LA.APP. NO.147 OF 2021

& Conn. Cases

<u> 242</u>

APPENDIX OF LA.APP. 96/2023

PETITIONER ANNEXURES

Annexure1 ORIGINAL OF THE HISTOPATHOLOGICAL
REPORT OF MR. KUNJUKURUMBAN, THE
HUSBAND OF MRS. KAMALAKSHY, THE 3RD
CLAIMANT ISSUED FROM MEDICAL TRUST

HOSPITAL, ERNAKULAM

Annexure2 DISCHARGE SUMMARY DATED 04/06/2010, OF

MR. KUNJUKURUMBAN ISSUED FROM MEDICAL

TRUST HOSPITAL, ERNAKULAM

Annexure3 ORIGINAL OF DISCHARGE SUMMARY DATED

26/05/2010, OF MR. KUNJUKURUMBAN ISSUED FROM MEDICAL TRUST HOSPITAL, ERNAKULAM

Annexure4 ORIGINAL OF THE MEDICAL CERTIFICATE

DATED 24/03/2022, OF MRS. AMMINI, THE

4TH CLAIMANT ISSUED FROM GENERAL

HOSPITAL, ERNAKULAM



LA.APP. NO.147 OF 2021

& Conn. Cases

<u> 243</u>

APPENDIX OF LA.APP. 350/2018

PETITIONER ANNEXURES

ANNEXURE A1	THE ORIGINAL OF THE DISCHARGE SUMMARY OF MRS.HAJIRA MUHAMMAD, ISSUED FROM ADITYA EYE HOSPITAL, KOCHI.
ANNEXURE A2	THE ORIGINAL OF THE DISCHARGE SUMMARY OF MRS.HAJIRA MUHAMMED, ISSUED FROM SAMARITAN HOSPITAL, PAZHANGANAD.
ANNEXURE A3	THE ORIGINAL OF THE DISCHARGE SUMMARY OF MRS.HAJIRA MUHAMMED, ISSUED FROM SAMARITAN HOSPITAL, PAZHANGANAD.
ANNEXURE A4	THE ORIGINAL OF M.R.I. REPORT OF MR.SATHAR ISSUED FROM TIDEWATER MRI.
ANNEXURE A5	THE ORIGINAL OF THE DISCHARGE SUMMARY OF MR.MUHAMMED ASLAM ISSUED FROM DISTRICT HOSPITAL, ALUVA.
ANNEXURE A6	THE ORIGINAL OF THE DISCHARGE SUMMARY OF MR.MUHAMMED ASLAM ISSUED FROM MEDIAL TRUST HOSPITAL, ERNAKULAM.
ANNEXURE A7	THE ORIGINAL OF THE DISCHARGE SUMMARY OF MR.HANEEFA, ISSUED FROM MEDICAL TRUST HOSPITAL, ERNAKULAM.
ANNEXURE A8	THE ORIGINAL OF THE DISCHARGE SUMMARY OF MRS.NASEEMA, ISSUED FROM SUNRISE HOSPITAL, KAKKANAD.



LA.APP. NO.147 OF 2021

& Conn. Cases

<u> 244</u>

APPENDIX OF LA.APP. 285/2019

PETITIONER ANNEXURES

ANNEXURE A1 TRUE

TRUE COPY OF DISCHARGE SUMMARY OF NADEERA SULAIMAN ISSUED FROM KIMS HOSPITAL PATHADIPPALAM.



LA.APP. NO.147 OF 2021

& Conn. Cases

<u> 245</u>

APPENDIX OF LA.APP. 294/2019

PETITIONER ANNEXURES

ANNEXURE A1 THE ORIGINAL OF DISCHARGE SUMMARY OF

SULEKHA KAHDERKUNJU ISSUED FROMKIMS

HOSPITAL, EDAPPALLY.

ANNEXURE A2 THE ORIGINAL OF OP TICKET OF MR.SAITH

MUHAMMED, ISSUED FROM GOVERNMENT HOMEO

HOSPITAL, KANINADU.



LA.APP. NO.147 OF 2021

& Conn. Cases

<u> 246</u>

APPENDIX OF LA.APP. 32/2020

PETITIONER ANNEXURES

ANNEXURE A1	THE ORIGINAL OF DEATH SUMMARY OF MR.SETHUMADHAVAN ISSUED FROM MEDICAL TRUST HOSPITAL, ERNAKULAM
ANNEXURE A2	THE ORIGINAL OF THE TREATMENT CERTIFICATE OF LAXMIKUTTY T.G., ISSUED BY CONSULTANT PSYCHIATRIST, IDUKKI DISTRICT CO-OPERATIVE HOSPITAL, THODUPUZHA
ANNEXURE A3	THE ORIGINAL OF THE DEATH CERTIFICATE DATED 06.02.2017
ANNEXURE A4	THE ORIGINAL OF THE LEGAL HEIRSHIP CERTIFICATE DATED 29.05.2017



LA.APP. NO.147 OF 2021

& Conn. Cases

<u>247</u>

APPENDIX OF LA.APP. 343/2018

PETITIONER ANNEXURES

ANNEXURE A1

ORIGINAL OF DISCHARGE SUMMARY OF MR.ABDULKHADER ISSUED FROM MEDICAL TRUST HOSPITAL, ERNAKULAM.