

ITEM NO.46+69 COURT NO.6 SECTION X

## SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Item No.46:

Writ Petition(s)(Criminal) No(s). 134/2022

ASHOK KUMAR Petitioner(s)

**VERSUS** 

THE STATE OF UTTAR PRADESH & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 49391/2022 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS IA No. 151243/2024 - EXTENSION OF TIME)

WITH W.P.(Crl.) No. 129/2024

(IA No. 165956/2024 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

IA No. 169034/2024 - EXTENSION OF TIME

IA No. 47446/2024 - INTERIM BAIL

IA No. 165289/2024 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL

DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

With

Item No.69:

Miscellaneous Application No. 199/2024

in D No. 32810/2022

(IA No. 243794/2023 - CLARIFICATION/DIRECTION)

Date: 20-08-2024 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ABHAY S. OKA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Purvish Jitender Malkan, Sr. Adv.

Mr. C. K. Rai, AOR

Mr. Arvind Kumar Tiwari, Adv.

Mr. Manish Kumar, Adv.

Ms. Aqsa, Adv.

Mr. Mohan Lal, Adv.

Mrs. Anuradha Roy, Adv.

Mr. Vinay Kumar Gupta, Adv.

- Mr. Pradeep Kumar Yadav, Adv.
- Mr. Vishal Thakre, Adv.
- Mr. Gopal Singh, Adv.
- Ms. Anjale Kumari, Adv.
- Ms. Chhaya, Adv.
- Mr. Tota Ram, Adv.
- Mr. Sanjeev Malhotra, AOR
- Mr. Pradeep Kumar Yadav, Adv.
- Mr. Vishal Thakre, Adv.
- Mr. Gopal Singh, Adv.
- Ms. Anjale Kumari, Adv.
- Ms. Chhaya, Adv.
- Mr. Tota Ram, Adv.
- Mr. Sadam Satya Narayana Raja Yadav, Adv.
- Mr. Sanjeev Malhotra, AOR

## For Respondent(s)

- Mr. K M Nataraj, A.S.G.
- Mr. Sharan Thakur, Sr. A.A.G.
- Mrs. Garima Prasad, Sr. A.A.G.
- Ms. Sakshi Kakkar, AOR
- Mr. Anchit Singla, Adv.
- Mr. R.K. Raizada, Sr. Adv., A.A.G.
- Mr. Antriksh Singh, Adv.
- Mr. Ankit Goel, AOR
- Mr. Nikhil Sharma, Adv.
- Mr. Sahil Patel, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

#### Writ Petition (Criminal)No.134 of 2024

We have perused the affidavit of Mr. Rajesh Kumar Singh, Principal Secretary, Prison Administration and Reforms Department, State of Uttar Pradesh. The stand taken on oath in the said affidavit affirmed on 14<sup>th</sup> August, 2024 is completely different from the solemn statements made by the same officer which were recorded in this Court's order dated 12<sup>th</sup> August, 2024. In fact, some of the statements made in the affidavit including

the statement made in clause (g) of paragraph 5 of the affidavit appear to be false.

We have perused the file tendered by the learned Additional Solicitor General appearing for the State Government. On 13<sup>th</sup> May, 2024 we had issued a direction to the State Government to consider the case of the petitioner for grant of permanent remission by observing that the Code of Conduct does not come in the way of the authorities. Time of one month was granted to report compliance.

However, there was no movement of the file after 13th May, 2024, as can be seen from the file. The Code of Conduct came to an end on 6<sup>th</sup> June, 2024. Four days thereafter, a noting has been prepared by a Secretary on The noting was signed by all concerned 10<sup>th</sup> June, 2024. officers on 13th June, 2024. However, it was sent to the Hon'ble Minister on 24<sup>th</sup> June, 2024. This has to be appreciated in the light of the fact that on 13th May, 2024, this Court had extended the time granted earlier by a period of one month. The affidavit gives an impression that from the Hon'ble Minister of the concerned Department, the file was sent to the Hon'ble Governor's However, it is not mentioned in the affidavit sent to the office of the Hon'ble that the file was Chief Minister on 5<sup>th</sup> August, 2024. It appears that the file was signed by the Hon'ble Chief Minister 5<sup>th</sup> August, 2024 and on 13<sup>th</sup> August, 2024 the file was signed by the Hon'ble Governor. Thus, a complete breach of the order dated 13<sup>th</sup> May, 2024 is writ large on the file. In fact, the statement which was orally made by the Principal Secretary on the last date that the file was kept pending because of the Code of Conduct appears to be fully correct as can be seen from the file.

We direct the Principal Secretary to personally remain present in the Court on the next date.

In the meanwhile, we enlarge the petitioner on temporary bail on appropriate terms and conditions as may be fixed by the Trial Court. For that purpose, the petitioner shall be produced before the Trial Court within a maximum period of four days from today and shall be enlarged on temporary bail on appropriate terms and conditions.

List on 27<sup>th</sup> August, 2024 when the Principal Secretary shall personally remain present.

Even today, the Principal Secretary is present in Court.

We are putting him to notice that we may consider of issuing a notice of criminal contempt to him. If he wants to file further affidavit, he is free to file the same till the next date. The original file is returned to the learned Additional Solicitor General appearing for the respondents.

Liberty is granted to the petitioner to challenge the order of rejection within a period of one week from today by filing an amended petition.

# Writ Petition (Criminal) No. 129 of 2024

We permit the petitioner to amend the Writ Petition for challenging the order of rejection.

The amended petition to be filed within a period of one week from today.

List on 2<sup>nd</sup> September, 2024.

The original file shall be produced before the Court on the next date.

### Miscellaneous Application No.199/2024 in D No. 32810/2022

The learned counsel appearing for the respondent-State states that by order dated 18<sup>th</sup> August, 2024, the applicant/petitioner has been set at liberty. Hence, the application is disposed of.

Miscellaneous Application also stands disposed of.

(ANITA MALHOTRA) AR-CUM-PS (AVGV RAMU)
COURT MASTER