ITEM NO.9 COURT NO.3 SECTION PIL-W

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Writ Petition(s)(Civil) No(s). 1067/2019

NATIONAL FEDERATION OF SOCIETIES FOR FAST JUSTICE & ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 42346/2020 - APPLICATION FOR WAIVER OF ADDITIONAL COSTS, IA No. 27884/2023 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T., IA No. 23726/2023 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T., IA No. 20754/2020 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T., IA No. 7966/2020 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T., IA No. 203793/2024 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T., IA No. 168393/2019 - INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT, IA No. 226445/2023 - INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT, IA No. 158887/2019 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

Date: 16-10-2024 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.R. GAVAI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRASHANT KUMAR MISHRA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.V. VISWANATHAN

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Nidhesh Gupta, Sr. Adv. (A.C.)

Ms. Japeneent Kaur, Adv.

Ms. V. Gujral, Adv.

Mr. Bikram Dwivedi, Adv.

Ms. Suhasini Sen, Adv. (A.C.)

Mr. Prashant Bhushan, AOR

For Respondent(s)

Mrs. Aishwarya Bhati, A.S.G.

Mr. R Bala, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Rajeev Ranjan, Adv.

Mr. Annirudh Sharma Ii, Adv.

Mr. Akshay Nain, Adv.

Mr. Ishaan Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Gaurang Bhushan, Adv.

Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma, AOR

Mr. Guntur Pramod Kumar, AOR

Ms. Prerna Singh, Adv.

Mr. Samarth Luthra, Adv.

Mr. Dhruv Yadav, Adv.

Mr. Abhimanyu Tewari, AOR

Ms. Eliza Bar, Adv.

Mr. Shuvodeep Roy, AOR

Mr. Deepayan Dutta, Adv.

Mr. Saurabh Tripathi, Adv.

Mr. Manish Kumar, AOR

Ms. Ankita Sharma, AOR

Mr. Arjun D Singh, Adv.

Mr. Abhay Anil Anturkar, Adv.

Mr. Dhruv Tank, Adv.

Mr. Aniruddha Awalgaonkar, Adv.

Ms. Surbhi Kapoor, AOR

Mr. Sarthak Mehrotra, Adv.

Ms. Deepanwita Priyanka, AOR

Mr. Prashant Bhagwati, Adv.

Mr. B.K. Satija, A.A.G.

Mr. Samar Vijay Singh, AOR

Mr. Fateh Singh, Adv.

Mr. Anoop Kumar Rattan(ag)), Sr. Adv.

Mr. Rajender Yadav, D.A.G.

Mr. Yadav Narender Singh, AOR

Mr. Mayank Kumar Singh, Adv.

Mr. Parth Awasthi, Adv.

Mr. Pashupathi Nath Razdan, AOR

Mr. Shantanu Sagar, AOR

Mr. V. N. Raghupathy, AOR

Mr. Raghavendra M. Kulkarni, Adv.

Mr. Nishe Rajen Shonker, AOR

Mrs. Anu K Joy, Adv.

Mr. Alim Anvar, Adv.

Mr. Ajith Anto Perumbully, Adv.

Mr. Aditya Vaibhav Singh Ga, Adv.

Mr. Sarad Kumar Singhania, AOR

Mr. Shrirang B. Varma, Adv.

Mr. Siddharth Dharmadhikari, Adv.

Mr. Aaditya Aniruddha Pande, AOR

Mr. Pukhrambam Ramesh Kumar, AOR

Mr. Karun Sharma, Adv.

Ms. Rajkumari Divyasana, Adv.

Mr. Avijit Mani Tripathi, AOR

Mr. T.K. Nayak, Adv.

Mr. Upendra Mishra, Adv.

Mr. P.S. Negi, Adv.

Ms. Marbiang Khongwir, Adv.

Mr. Anando Mukherjee, AOR

Mr. Abhinav Bajaj, Adv.

Ms. Geetashi Chandna, Adv.

Mr. Saksham Ojha, Adv.

Ms. K. Enatoli Sema, AOR

Mr. Amit Kumar Singh, Adv.

Ms. Chubalemla Chang, Adv.

Mr. Prang Newmai, Adv.

Mr. Som Raj Choudhury, AOR

Ms. Nupur Kumar, AOR

Mr. Sandeep Kumar Jha, AOR

Mr. Shiv Mangal Sharma, A.A.G.

Ms. Nidhi Jaswal, Adv.

Ms. Shalini Singh, Adv.

Mr. Saurabh Rajpal, Adv.

Mr. Sameer Abhyankar, AOR

Mr. Aakash Thakur, Adv.

Mr. Rahul Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Aryan Srivastava, Adv.

Mrs. Ayushi Bansal, Adv.

Mr. Sarthak Dora, Adv.

Mr. Amit Anand Tiwari, A.A.G.

Mr. Sabarish Subramanian, AOR

Ms. Devyani Gupta, Adv.

Mr. Vishnu Unnikrishnan, Adv.

Mr. C Kranthi Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Danish Saifi, Adv.

Ms. Tanvi Anand, Adv.

Ms. Devina Sehgal, AOR

Mr. Dhananjay Yadav, Adv.

Ms. Garima Prasad, Sr. A.A.G.

Mr. Pradeep Misra, AOR

Mr. Daleep Dhyani, Adv.

Mr. Suraj Singh, Adv.

Mr. Harsh Pratap Shahi, Adv.

Mr. Manan Verma, AOR

Ms. Ayushi Hatwal, Adv.

Ms. Madhumita Bhattacharjee, AOR

Ms. Debarati Sadhu, Adv.

Ms. Srija Choudhury, Adv.

Mr. Anant, Adv.

Mr. Ramesh Babu M. R., AOR

Ms. Charu Ambwani, AOR

Mr. Prashant Shrikant Kenjale, AOR

Mr. Kunal Chatterji, AOR

Ms. Maitrayee Banerjee, Adv.

Mr. Rohit Bansal, Adv.

Mr. Apoorv Kurup, AOR

Mr. Samir Ali Khan, AOR

Mr. Pranjal Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Abhimanyu Jhamba, Adv.

Mr. Kashif Irshad Khan, Adv.

Ms. Vishakha, AOR

Ms. Sneha Kalita, AOR

Ms. Manisha Ambwani, AOR

Mr. Abhishek Singh, AOR

Mr. Tapesh Kumar Singh, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Akshat Choudhary, Adv.

Ms. K. V. Bharathi Upadhyaya, AOR

Mr. V. K. Biju, AOR

Mr. Abhinav Singh, Adv.

Mr. R. Ayyam Perumal, AOR

Ms. Manisha Chava, Adv.

Mr. A. Renganath, Adv.

Mr. Arjun Garg, AOR

Mr. Sanjai Kumar Pathak, AOR

Mrs. Shashi Pathak, Adv.

Mr. Arvind Kumar Tripathi, Adv.

Mr. Maruti Nandan, Adv.

Mr. Mahesh Thakur, AOR

Mr. Kumar Mihir, AOR

Mr. Niranjan Sahu, AOR

Mr. Gautam Narayan, AOR

Ms. Asmita Singh, Adv.

Mr. Tushar Nair, Adv.

Mr. Anirudh Anand, Adv.

Mr. Punishk Handa, Adv.

Mr. Mukul Kumar, AOR

Ms. Enakshi Mukhopadhyay Siddhanta, AOR

Mr. Govindarajan J., Adv.

Mr. B. Shravanth Shanker, AOR

Mr. Amit Sharma, AOR

Mr. Dipesh Sinha, Adv.

Ms. Pallavi Barua, Adv.

Ms. Aparna Singh, Adv.

Ms. Radhika Gautam, AOR

Mr. Chirag M. Shroff, AOR

Mr. Aravindh S., AOR

Mr. Akshay Gupta, Adv.

Ms. Anita Tripathi, Adv.

Mr. Piyush Singh, Adv.

Ms. K. R. Chitra, AOR

Mr. K.M. Nataraj, A.S.G.

Mrs. Indira Bhakar, Adv.

Mr. Neeraj Kumar Sharma, Adv.

Mrs. Kanu Agrawal, Adv.

Mr. Shreekant Neelappa Terdal, AOR

Mr. Abhinav Bajaj, Adv.

Ms. Geetashi Chandna, Adv.

Mr. Saksham Ojha, Adv.

Ms. K. Enatoli Sema, AOR

Mr. Amit Kumar Singh, Adv.

Ms. Chubalemla Chang, Adv.

Mr. Prang Newmai, Adv.

Mr. Mukesh Kumar Maroria, AOR

Mr. Jose Abraham, AOR

Mr. Gurmeet Singh Makker, AOR

Mr. Varinder Kumar Sharma, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following O R D E R

- 1. In pursuance to the order passed by this Court on 11.09.2024, the State Governments/Union Territories and the High Courts have filed their respective affidavits.
- 2. Mr. Nidhesh Gupta, learned Amicus Curiae has prepared a chart pointing out the position with regard to each of the States. The chart contains the names of the States which have established or have agreed to establish the Gram Nyayalayas, and further specifies therein the number of Gram Nyayalayas established, their disposal rate, etc. It also contains a list of the States wherein which have taken a stand that it is not necessary to establish Gram Nyayalayas as also States that have claimed an exemption under Section 1(2) of the Gram Nyayalayas Act, 2009.
- 3. Learned Amicus Curiae has suggested that if an information is called for from the State Governments in the format prepared by him, he will assist the Court in passing appropriate orders.
- 4. We, therefore, direct the State Governments to provide information in the format as follows:

"Name of the State:

- I. Decision of the State Government indicating option chosen under Section 3(1)
- II. Number of Gram Nyayalayas to be established in the concerned State in view of I above
- III. Number of Gram Nyayalayas that have been notified
- IV. Number of Gram Nyayalayas that have been established
- V. Infrastructure: (To be furnished for every Gram Nyayalaya as per I above)

1. Court Complex

- i. Whether adequate for Nyayadhikari and support staff?
- ii. If not, steps being taken to remedy the deficit
- iii. Whether any proposal is pending with the Government for construction/providing infrastructure for remaining Gram Nyayalayas or for improvement of infrastructure for any existing/proposed court complex and current status with regards thereto?

2. Residential Facilities

- i. Whether residential facilities are sufficient for each Nyayadhikari?
- ii. If not, steps being taken to remedy the deficit
- iii. Whether any proposal is pending for construction/improvement of proposed/existing residence of Nyayadhikaris and current status with regards thereto?

VI. Budgetary allocation

Estimated expenditure towards facilities to be provided concerning infrastructure in heading (V) above

VII. Support staff: (Data to be furnished for every Gram Nyayalaya as per I above)

- 1. Support staff required with each Nyayadhikari
- 2. Support staff available with each Nyayadhikari
- 3. Plan of action for providing the remaining support staff
- VIII. Whether there is provision of vehicles and other facilities required for the Nyayadhikari to hold mobile court?
- IX. Whether the High Court has made Rules as provided under Section 39 of the Act?
- X. Whether the State Government has made Rules as provided under Section 40 of the Act?"
- 5. Needless to state that the said information will be given by the States after consulting the Registrar Generals of the High Courts within a period of six weeks from today. A copy will also be sent to the learned Amicus Curiae.
- 6. Learned Amicus Curiae is requested to prepare a chart giving specifications of the responses of the State Governments.
- 7. The question as to whether it is mandatory for the State Governments to establish Gram Nyayalayas or if it is optional will be considered separately.
- 8. However, insofar as the State Governments which have agreed to establish Gram Nyayalayas, we will examine as to whether the State Governments have acted in a positive manner in order to achieve the object of the enactment of providing

speedy, affordable and efficient justice to the citizens living in the remote areas.

- 9. List this matter on 18.12.2024.
- 10. In the meantime, we also direct the Union of India to file a fresh affidavit specifying the compliance with regard to the directions issued by this Court in order dated 29.01.2020. The said affidavit shall also contain the Statewise disbursal of the funds made to the State Governments.

(DEEPAK SINGH)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(ANJU KAPOOR)
COURT MASTER (NSH)