ITEM NO.45 COURT NO.2 SECTION PIL-W

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Writ Petition(s)(Civil) No(s). 1082/2020

SUHAS CHAKMA Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

WITH

Diary No(s). 40620/2024 (PIL-W)

(IA No. 201836/2024 - APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION AND IA No. 201841/2024 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Date: 25-11-2024 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.R. GAVAI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.V. VISWANATHAN

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. K. Parameshwar, Sr. Adv. (A.C.)

Ms. Kanti, Adv.

Ms. Raji Gururaj, Adv.

Mr. Srinivas Patil, Adv.

Ms. Chitransha Sikarwar, Adv.

Mr. Dr. S. Muralidhar, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Anuj Kapoor, AOR

Mr. Shivom Sethi, Adv.

Mr. M. A. Karthik, Adv.

Ms. Ninni Susan Thornas, Adv.

Mr. Maitreya Subramaniam, Adv.

Ms. Pallak Bhagat, Adv.

Mr. Satish Pandey, AOR

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Tushar Mehta, S.G.

Mr. Suryaprakash V Raju, A.S.G.

Mr. Rajan K Chourasia, Adv.

Mr. Kanu Agrawal, Adv.

Mr. Raghav Sharma, Adv.

Ms. Priyanka Terdal, Adv.

Mr. Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma, Aor, Adv.

Mr. Guntur Pramod Kumar, AOR

Ms. Prerna Singh, Adv.

Mr. Dhruv Yadav, Adv.

Mr. Abhimanyu Tewari, AOR

- Ms. Eliza Bar, Adv.
- Mr. Nalin Kohli, Sr. Adv.
- Mr. Shuvodeep Roy, AOR
- Ms. Nimisha Menon, Adv.
- Mr. Deepayan Dutta, Adv.
- Mr. Saurabh Tripathi, Adv.
- Mr. Abhishek Pandey, Adv.
- Mr. Prashant Kumar Umrao, AOR
- Ms. Swati Ghildiyal, AOR
- Ms. Devyani Bhatt, Adv.
- Ms. Neha Singh, Adv.
- Dr. Hemant Gupta, A.A.G.
- Mr. Samar Vijay Singh, AOR
- Mr. Shivang Jain, Adv.
- Mr. Varun Goel, Adv.
- Ms. Nitikaa Guptha, Adv.
- Mr. Saurabh Gupta, Adv.
- Ms. Sabarni Som, Adv.
- Mr. Fateh Singh, Adv.
- Mr. Akshay Girish Ringe, AOR
- Mr. Kartikeya Rastogi, D.A.G.
- Mrs. Inderdeep Kaur Raina, Adv.
- Mr. Nishe Rajen Shonker, AOR
- Mrs. Anu K Joy, Adv.
- Mr. Alim Anvar, Adv.
- Mr. Pashupathi Nath Razdan, AOR
- Mr. Nirmal Kumar Ambastha, Adv.
- Mr. Anand Dilip Landge, Adv.
- Mr. Siddharth Dharmadhikari, Adv.
- Mr. Aaditya Aniruddha Pande, AOR
- Mr. Pukhrambam Ramesh Kumar, AOR
- Mr. Karun Sharma, Adv.
- Ms. Rajkumari Divyasana, Adv.
- Mr. Anando Mukherjee, AOR
- Mr. Milind Kumar, AOR
- Mr. Tushar Mehta, Solicitor General
- Mr. Shiv Mangal Sharma, A.A.G.
- Mr. Saurabh Rajpal, AOR
- Ms. Saubhaya Sundriyal, Adv.
- Mr. Sameer Abhyankar, AOR

- Mr. Rahul Kumar, Adv.
- Mr. Aryan Srivastava, Adv.
- Mr. Aakash Thakur, Adv.
- Mr. Sabarish Subramanian, AOR
- Mr. Vishnu Unnikrishnan, Adv.
- Mr. C. Kranthi Kumar, Adv.
- Mr. Danish Saifi, Adv.
- Ms. Aswani Satheesh, Adv.
- Ms. Devina Sehgal, AOR
- Mr. Dhananjay Yadav, Adv.
- Ms. Garima Prasad, Sr. A.A.G.
- Mr. Pradeep Misra, AOR
- Mr. Daleep Dhyani, Adv.
- Mr. Suraj Singh, Adv.
- Mr. Sanjay Jain, Adv.
- Ms. Ishita Bist, Adv.
- Mr. Manan Verma, AOR
- Mr. Sumit Kumar, Adv.
- Ms. Astha Sharma, AOR
- Mr. Sanjeev Kaushik, Adv.
- Mr. Simranjeet Singh Rekhi, Adv.
- Mr. Tadimalla Bhaskar Gowtham, Adv.
- Mr. Bhuvan Kapoor, Adv.
- Mr. Varun Chugh, Adv.
- Mr. Krishna Kant Dubey, Adv.
- Mr. Shreekant Neelappa Terdal, AOR
- Mrs. Aishwarya Bhati, A.S.G.
- Mr. Mukesh Kumar Maroria, AOR
- Mr. Ketan Paul, Adv.
- Mr. Mayank Pandey, Adv.
- Ms. Shivika Mehra, Adv.
- Mr. Ishaan Sharma, Adv.
- Mr. Sarthak Karol, Adv.
- Ms. Astha Singh, Adv.
- Mr. Jagdish Chandra Solanki, Adv.
- Ms. Rashmi Nandakumar, AOR
- Ms. Yashmita Pandey, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Diary No(s). 40620/2024

- 1. The contempt petition has been filed by the petitioner alleging that a part of the area allotted to Sanganer Correctional Home has been taken away by the Government and allotted for some other purpose.
- 2. Mr. Tushar Mehta, learned Solicitor General appearing for the Union of India has placed on record the map before us which is marked as 'X' for identification.
- 3. Learned Solicitor General also submits that the area which is marked in green admeasuring 17800 sq. meters was originally allotted to the open jail.
- 4. It is, however, submitted that in an area marked red admeasuring 22232.33 sq meter, the Government has proposed the 300 bedded Satelite Hospital.
- 5. It is submitted that, however, there are certain structures which were constructed by the prison authority without authorization on the said red area, where certain inmates of the Open Correctional Home are residing.
- 6. Learned Solicitor General submits that in order to balance the needs of having Hospital and protecting the interest of inmates of the Open Correctional Home, it is proposed that an additional area admeasuring 14940 sq. meter shown in blue would be allotted to the open prison.
- 7. It is further confirmed that the construction in the red

area would not be demolished until new construction is done in the blue/green area, wherein the inmates of the Open Correctional Home can be relocated.

- 8. It is further stated that in the event the construction of the Hospital is started, it will be done in a manner that until the residents in the red area are relocated and shifted in the green/blue area, the structures would not be demolished.
- 9. We, therefore find that it would be appropriate for the Court to appoint a 'Court Commissioner' for the site inspection and to submit its report on the following issues.
 - (i) As to the area of structures constructed on the red area.
 - (ii) As to whether the said structures can be suitably relocated in the green/blue area.
- 10. We are also of the considered view that there has to be a balance between the needs of having the Open Correctional Home and also a Hospital, which shall cater to the needs of the citizens residing in the vicinity.
- 11. We, therefore, direct the Secretary General of this Court to appoint a Registrar of this Court, who shall act as 'Court Commissioner' and submit a report to this Court within a period four weeks from today.
- 12. Needless to State that the State of Rajasthan shall provide necessary assistance to the Court Commissioner appointed

by this Court.

13. List both the matters together on 16.12.2024.

(DEEPAK SINGH) ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS (POOJA SHARMA)
COURT MASTER (NSH)

