IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA INHERENT JURISDICTION

<u>CONTEMPT PETITION NO. OF 2024</u> [Diary No(s). 1106/2024] IN <u>SLP(Crl.) No. 14489/2023</u>

TUSHARBHAI RAJNIKANTBHAI SHAH Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

KAMAL DAYANI & ORS.

Respondent(s)

<u>O R D E R</u>

1. Vide judgment dated 07th August, 2024, we had held Shri R. Y. Raval, Police Inspector, Vesu Police Station, Surat (Contemnor-respondent No.4) and Smt. Deepaben Sanjaykumar Thakar, 6th Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Surat (Contemnor-respondent No.7) guilty of having committed contempt of order of this Court dated 08th December, 2023.

2. We have heard Shri Tushar Mehta, learned Solicitor General appearing for the State of Gujarat.

3. Shri R.Y. Raval, Police Inspector, Vesu Police Station, Surat (Contemnor-respondent No.4)

is personally present in the Court and is being represented by Shri K. Parameshwar, learned senior Similarly. Smt. Deepaben counsel. Sanjaykumar Thakar, 6th Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, (Contemnor-respondent No.7) is also Surat personally present in the Court and is being represented by Dr. Manish Singhvi, learned senior counsel.

4. Both the contemnors have filed their affidavits thereby tendering their unconditional apology.

5. Though arguments were heard at length, we do not propose to go into them in view of order that we propose to pass.

6. Insofar as Smt. Deepaben Sanjaykumar Thakar, 6th Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Surat (Contemnor-respondent No.7) is concerned, we have already taken into consideration the erroneous practice prevalent in the State of Gujarat of permitting the remand application to be filed even after the grant of anticipatory bail, we also take into consideration her unblemished service record. 7. We are, therefore, inclined to take a lenient

2

view and accept her unconditional apology.

8. Insofar as Shri R.Y. Raval, Police Inspector, Vesu Police Station, Surat (Contemnor-respondent No.4) is concerned, we sentence him to pay fine in the sum of Rs.25,000/-, to be deposited in the Supreme Court Advocates-on-Record Association to be used for the purpose of Library within a period of one month from today.

9. The last sentence in paragraph 59.4 of the judgment dated 7th August, 2024 which begins with 'The contemnor' and ending with 'end' shall stand deleted.

10. The contempt petition is, accordingly, disposed of.

11. Pending application(s), if any, stand(s)
disposed of.

.....J (B.R. GAVAI)

(SANDEEP MEHTA)

New Delhi September 02, 2024 COURT NO.3

SECTION II-B

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CONTEMPT PETITION (CIVIL) Diary No(s). 1106/2024

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 08-12-2023 in SLP(Crl) No. 14489/2023 passed by the Supreme Court of India)

TUSHARBHAI RAJNIKANTBHAI SHAH

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

KAMAL DAYANI & ORS.

Respondent(s)

Date : 02-09-2024 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.R. GAVAI HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MEHTA

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Iqbal Syed, Sr. Adv. Mr. Mohammad Aslam, AOR Mr. Dipesh Dalal, Adv. Mr. Aniq Kadri, Adv. Mr. Amaan Syed, Adv. Mr. Vishrut Bhandari, Adv. Mr. Prithu Parimal, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Tushar Mehta, S.G.
Ms. Archana Pathak Dave, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Swati Ghildiyal, AOR
Ms. Devyani Bhatt, Adv.
Ms. Neha Singh, Adv.
Ms. Sneha Menon, Adv.
Mr. K. Parmeshwar, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Srishti Mishra, Adv.
Ms. Kanti, Adv.
Mr. R. C. Kohli, AOR
Mr. Kushagra Pandey , AOR
Mr. Manish Singhvi, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Asim Pandya, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Shushil Shukla, Adv.

Ms. Prerna Gupta, Adv. Mr. Rajesh Pal, Adv. Mr. Praween Gupta, Adv. Mr. Shubham Gupta, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following O R D E R

1. The contempt petition is disposed of in terms of the signed order.

2. Pending application(s), if any, stand(s) disposed of.

(DEEPAK SINGH)(ANJU KAPOOR)ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PSCOURT MASTER (NSH)[Signed order is placed on the file]

